

Evidence table: Pressure Injury Evidence Table

Reference	Evidence level (I-VII)	Key findings, outcomes or recommendations
Pan Pacific Clinical Practice Guideline for the Prevention and Management of Pressure Injury	I-VII	 Interventions: positioning, support surfaces, nutrition, education, health professional training and competency, pharmacological management, complementary and/or alternative treatments, wound management products, hyperbaric oxygen, social/education groups, pain management strategies. Diagnosis and assessment: risk assessment, PI assessment tools, pain assessment, health professional education and competency, PI staging scales. Although 12 risk assessment instruments were identified, only three were the subject of validation trials-BPUSRAS, Glamorgan scale and Braden Q.
National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel (NPUAP) and European Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel (EPUAP), Pressure Ulcer Prevention, Quick reference Guide. (2009)	II - VII	 Special Population: Patients in the Operating Room Risk for patients undergoing surgery should be defined by: a) Length of the operation b) Increased hypotensive episodes intraoperatively c) Low core temperature during surgery d) Reduced mobility on day one postoperatively Patients should be positioned to reduce the risk of pressure ulcer development during surgery. Heels should be completely elevated in such a way as to distribute the weight of the leg along the calf without putting all the pressure on the Achilles tendon. The knee should be in slight flexion. Hyperextension of the knee may cause obstruction of the popliteal vein, and this could predispose the individual to deep vein thrombosis. Inspecting the skin for signs of erythema, blanching response, localised heat and induration should be conducted regularly.

Anthony, D., Willock, J., Barharestani, M. (2010) A comparison of braden Q, Garvin and Glamorgan risk assessment scales in paediatrics. Journal of Tissue Viability. 19(3), 98 – 105.	IV	The Glamorgan Scale is the most valid of the three paediatric risk assessment scales studied in this population
Griggs, K, Pressure Area Care: Management. Evidence Summaries – Joanna Briggs Institute. Adelaide: Dec 1, 2008.		 The main strategies utilized to reduce the incidence of pressure injuries are those that minimise the mechanical load. This can be achieved by repositioning , the use of pressure –relieving support surfaces or those support surfaces which mechanically vary pressure beneath bed bound patients. Specialised foam mattresses compared with standard hospital beds significantly reduce the incidence of pressure injuries Specialised foam mattresses and hospital grade sheepskins reduce pressure injuries Dynamic support surfaces should be used for moderate or high risk patients. The use of massage and doughnut pressure relieving devices are contra-indicated for at risk patients. Decisions about support surface choice should be based on overall assessment of the patient, not just the risk assessment tool Individuals who are considered at risk should not sit for a period longer than 2 hours Patients who cannot reposition themselves require regular two hourly turns or more frequent if they are uncomfortable, incontinent, have poor circulation, fragile skin, decreased sensation or poor nutritional status. Data suggests raising the bed head higher than 30 degrees increases pressure over the ischial tuberosties potentially resulting in additional shearing Patients who are totally bedbound must have careful attention to their heels ensuring they are raised from the support surface Care plans should include documentation about support devices incorporated into care Repositioning schedule should be clearly documented in careplans
		mandatory

The Hierarchy of Evidence

The Hierarchy of evidence is based on summaries from the National Health and Medical Research Council (2009), the Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine Levels of Evidence (2011) and Melynyk and Fineout-Overholt (2011).

- **I** Evidence obtained from a systematic review of all relevant randomised control trials.
- II Evidence obtained from at least one well designed randomised control trial.
- III Evidence obtained from well-designed controlled trials without randomisation.
- IV Evidence obtained from well designed cohort studies, case control studies, interrupted time series with a control group, historically controlled studies, interrupted time series without a control group or with case- series
- **V** Evidence obtained from systematic reviews of descriptive and qualitative studies
- **VI** Evidence obtained from single descriptive and qualitative studies
- VII Expert opinion from clinicians, authorities and/or reports of expert committees or based on physiology
- Melynyk, B. & Fineout-Overholt, E. (2011). *Evidence-based practice in nursing & healthcare: A guide to best practice (2nd ed.).* Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- National Health and Medical Research Council (2009). *NHMRC levels of evidence and grades for recommendations for developers of guidelines* (2009). Australian Government: NHMRC. http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/ files nhmrc/file/guidelines/evidence_statement_form.pdf
- OCEBM Levels of Evidence Working Group Oxford (2011). *The Oxford 2011 Levels of Evidence*. Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine. <u>http://www.cebm.net/index.aspx?o=1025</u>